

# On critical branching processes with immigration in varying environment

Márton Ispány

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen  
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Hungary

III Workshop on Branching Processes and their Applications  
Badajoz, Spain  
April 7-10, 2015

# Outline

- Sequence of branching processes with immigration (BPI)
- BPI in varying environment (BPIVE)
- Asymptotic in the mean
- Criticality and classification
- Limit theorem: strictly positive offspring variance
- Deterministic and fluctuation limit theorems: vanishing offspring variance
- Conclusions and future works

# Sequence of branching processes with immigration

## Galton–Watson branching processes with immigration (BPI)

$$X_k^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1}^{(n)}} \xi_{k,j}^{(n)} + \varepsilon_k^{(n)}, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad X_0^{(n)} = 0,$$

where, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , both the **offsprings**  $\{\xi_{k,j}^{(n)} : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and the **immigrations**  $\{\varepsilon_k^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are identically distributed, and they are independent, nonnegative, integer valued random variables.

**Parameters:**  $m_n := \mathbf{E}\xi_{1,1}^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_n := \mathbf{E}\varepsilon_1^{(n)},$   
 $\sigma_n^2 := \mathbf{Var}\xi_{1,1}^{(n)}, \quad b_n^2 := \mathbf{Var}\varepsilon_1^{(n)}.$

**Classification:**  $m_n < 1$        $m_n = 1$        $m_n > 1$   
**subcritical**      **critical**      **supercritical**

# Asymptotic result I: strictly positive offspring variance

Sriram AS (1994)

Suppose that  $m_n = 1 + \alpha n^{-1} + o(n^{-1})$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 > 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_t^n := \mathcal{X}_{[nt]}^{(n)}$ . Then

$$n^{-1} \mathcal{X}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{X} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{X} := (\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a (nonnegative) diffusion process with initial value  $\mathcal{X}_0 = 0$  and with generator

$$Lf(x) = (\lambda + \alpha x)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x f''(x), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

This process can also be characterized as the unique solution to the SDE

$$d\mathcal{X}_t = (\lambda + \alpha \mathcal{X}_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{(\mathcal{X}_t)_+} dW_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathcal{X}_0 = 0.$$

This is a square-root process or a CBI process, related to the squared Bessel process and Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model in the financial mathematics.

# Asymptotic result II: vanishing offspring variance

## I, Pap, van Zuijlen JAP (2005)

Suppose that  $m_n = 1 + \alpha n^{-1} + o(n^{-1})$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\sigma_n^2 = \beta n^{-1} + o(n^{-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_t^n := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} M_k^n$ . Then, we have **fluctuation limit theorem**

$$n^{-1/2}(\mathcal{X}^n - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{X}^n, \mathcal{M}^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{M} := (\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a **time-changed Wiener process**, i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}_t = W_{T(t)}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with

$$T(t) := b^2 t + \beta \lambda \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\alpha u} du ds,$$

$(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a standard Wiener process; and

$$\mathcal{X}_t := \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)} d\mathcal{M}_s$$

is an **Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process** driven by  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# BPI in varying environment (BPIVE)

**Goal:** To study the nearly criticality in one model!  
Galton–Watson branching process with immigration

$$X_k = \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1}} \xi_{k,j} + \varepsilon_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad X_0 = 0,$$

where the **offsprings**  $\{\xi_{k,j} : k, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are identically distributed for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , respectively, and they and the **immigrations**  $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are independent, nonnegative, integer valued random variables. The offspring and the immigration **distributions may vary** from generation to generation.

The process  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is called **branching process with immigration in varying environment (BPIVE)** or time varying BPI.

**Parameters:**  $m_k := E\xi_{k,1}$ ,  $\lambda_k := E\varepsilon_k$ ,  $\sigma_k^2 := \text{Var}\xi_{k,1}$ ,  $b_k^2 := \text{Var}\varepsilon_k$ .

# Applications

## General inhomogeneous branching processes:

- Domain of peer-to-peer file sharing networks, Adar and Huberman (2000), Zhao et al. (2005)
- Modeling biodiversity or macroevolution, Aldous and Popovic (2005), Haccou and Iwasa (1996)
- Epidemic-type Aftershock Sequence (ETAS) in seismology, Farrington et al. (2003)

## Heterogeneous INAR models (Bernoulli offsprings):

- Understanding and predicting consumers' buying behaviour, Böckenholt (1999)
- Modeling the premium in bonus-malus scheme of car insurance, Gouriéroux and Jasiak (2004)

# Asymptotic for the mean

We have the following **deterministic time varying linear recursion** for the mean

$$E(X_k) = m_k E(X_{k-1}) + \lambda_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the sequence  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  determines the asymptotic behaviour of the process.

Define the bottom and top **Lyapunov exponents** as

$$\sup_n n^{-1} \inf_k r(n, k) =: \gamma_b \leq \gamma_t := \inf_n n^{-1} \sup_k r(n, k),$$

where the partial growing rate function is defined as

$$r(n, k) := \sum_{j=k}^{k+n-1} \log m_j$$

**Classification:**

$\gamma_t < 0$	$\gamma_b \leq 0 \leq \gamma_t$	$\gamma_b > 0$
<b>subcritical</b>	<b>???</b>	<b>supercritical</b>

The supercritical case was studied by Goettge (1976), Cohn and Hering (1983), Jagers and Nerman (1985), D'Souza and Biggins (1992, 1993), D'Souza (1994).



# Asymptotic for nearly critical recursion

Notation:  $\lambda_k \rightsquigarrow \lambda$  stands for the **Cesaro convergence**

$$n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For a **deterministic time varying linear recursion**

$$x_k = m_k x_{k-1} + \lambda_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_0 = 0,$$

where

- 1  $m_k = 1 + \alpha k^{-1} + \delta_k$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_k| < \infty$ ;
- 2  $\lambda_k \rightsquigarrow \lambda$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ , where  $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is a non-negative sequence,

we have

- 1  $n^{-1} x_n \rightarrow \lambda(1 - \alpha)^{-1}$  if  $\alpha < 1$ , i.e.  $EX_n = O(n)$ ;
- 2  $(n \ln n)^{-1} x_n \rightarrow \lambda$  if  $\alpha = 1$ , i.e.  $EX_n = O(n \ln n)$ ;
- 3  $n^{-\alpha} x_n \rightarrow \kappa$  if  $\alpha > 1$ , i.e.  $EX_n = O(n^\alpha)$ ,  
where  $\kappa > 0$  is a constant,

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Proof of the asymptotic

Representation for the unique solution, see Elaydi (1.2.4),

$$x_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=j+1}^k m_i \lambda_j$$

Method of the proof: **perturbation argument**.

Introduce the new sequence  $y_k := k^{-\alpha} x_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have

$$y_k = (1 + \tilde{\delta}_k) y_{k-1} + k^{-\alpha} \lambda_k,$$

where  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{\delta}_k| < \infty$ .

This recursion is a small perturbation of the recursion

$$z_k = z_{k-1} + k^{-\alpha} \lambda_k.$$

Hence, their asymptotic behaviors are similar. Thus, we have

$$x_n \approx n^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\alpha} \lambda_k$$

# Proof of the asymptotic

In case of  $\alpha < 1$ , by Toeplitz theorem, we have

$$n^{-1}x_n \approx n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\alpha} \lambda_k \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha}$$

since

$$n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\alpha} \approx \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha} ds = (1-\alpha)^{-1}$$

## Second order linear difference equations I.

An inhomogeneous first order d.e. can be transformed to a homogeneous second order d.e. Suppose that

$$m_k = 1 + \alpha k^{-1} + \beta k^{-2}, \quad \lambda_k = \lambda + \gamma k^{-1}.$$

Then

$$x_k + a(k)x_{k-1} + b(k)x_{k-2} = 0, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where

$$a(k) \approx a_0 + a_1 k^{-1} + a_2 k^{-2}, \quad b(k) \approx b_0 + b_1 k^{-1} + b_2 k^{-2}$$

with  $a_0 = -2$ ,  $a_1 = -\alpha$ ,  $a_2 = \gamma - \beta$

and  $b_0 = 1$ ,  $b_1 = \alpha$ ,  $b_2 = \alpha + \beta - \gamma$ .

Asymptotic theory: Wong and Li (1992), goes back to Birkhoff ('30).

## Second order linear difference equations II.

Characteristic polynomial:

$$\varrho^2 + a_0\varrho + b_0 \implies \varrho^2 - 2\varrho + 1 = 0 \implies \varrho_{1,2} = 1$$

The common value is a root of the auxiliary equation

$$a_1\varrho + b_1 = 0 \implies -\alpha\varrho + \alpha = 0$$

Indicial polynomial:

$$\kappa(\kappa - 1)\varrho^2 + (a_1\kappa + a_2) + b_2 \implies \kappa^2 - (\alpha + 1)\kappa + \alpha = 0$$

Roots:  $\kappa_1 = 1$  and  $\kappa_2 = \alpha$ .

Two linearly independent asymptotic solutions if  $\alpha \neq 1$  with

$$x_n^{(i)} \approx \varrho^n n^{\kappa_i} \quad i = 1, 2$$

If  $\alpha = 1$  then we have an extra  $\log n$  factor.

# Criticality and classification

A BPIVE is called **asymptotically critical** if  $m_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  
More precisely, if the parametrization

$$m_k = 1 + \alpha k^{-1} + \delta_k, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_k| < \infty$$

holds then BPIVE is called **nearly critical** and it has **criticality index**  $\alpha$ . In this case,  $\gamma_b = \gamma_t = 0$ .

**Classification (regimes) for nearly critical TVBPI:**

$\alpha < 1$	$\alpha = 1$	$\alpha > 1$	<b>nearly critical</b>
<b>proper</b>	<b>logarithmically</b>	<b>polinomially</b>	<b>critical</b>

In the sequel, we investigate **proper nearly critical** BPIVE.  
If  $\alpha = 0$  then a BPIVE is called **strongly critical**.

# Limit theorem: Assumptions I (2015)

Suppose that

- (i)  $m_n = 1 + \alpha n^{-1} + \delta_n$  with  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda_n \rightsquigarrow \lambda \geq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\sigma_n^2 \rightsquigarrow \sigma^2 \geq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $n^{-1} b_n^2 \rightsquigarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

moreover the following Lindeberg conditions hold

$$(L1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( |\xi_{k,1} - m_k|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi_{k,1} - m_k| > \theta n\}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \theta > 0,$$

$$(L2) \quad \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( |\varepsilon_k - \lambda_k|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\varepsilon_k - \lambda_k| > \theta n\}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \theta > 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Limit theorem: Result I (2015)

Let  $\mathcal{X}_t^n := X_{[nt]}$ . Then, weakly in the Skorokhod space  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$n^{-1} \mathcal{X}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{X} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  satisfies the SDE

$$d\mathcal{X}_t = (\lambda + \alpha t^{-1} \mathcal{X}_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{\mathcal{X}_t} dW_t, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a standard Wiener process, with initial condition  $\mathcal{X}_0 = 0$ .

**Formal SDE:** the drift  $\beta(t, x) := (\lambda + \alpha t^{-1} x)$  is not Lipschitz and it is not defined at  $t = 0$ .

**Solution:** take the process  $\mathcal{Y}_t := t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{X}_t$  and apply the Ito's formula (formally)

$$d\mathcal{Y}_t = \lambda t^{-\alpha} dt + \sigma \sqrt{t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{Y}_t} dW_t, \quad t > 0,$$



## Associated martingale differences

Let  $\mathcal{F}_k$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k$ .  
We have the **conditional expectation**

$$E(X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = m_k X_{k-1} + \lambda_k. \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,

$$M_k := X_k - E(X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = X_k - m_k X_{k-1} - \lambda_k$$

defines a **martingale difference** sequence with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ . On the other hand,

$$M_k := \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1}} (\xi_{k,j} - m_k) + \varepsilon_k - \lambda_k$$

Thus, we have the **heteroscedastic** property:

$$E(M_k^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \sigma_k^2 X_{k-1} + b_k^2$$

# Heuristic for the limit theorem I.

For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$X_k = m_k X_{k-1} + \lambda_k + M_k = X_{k-1} + \lambda_k + \alpha \frac{X_{k-1}}{k} + \delta_k X_{k-1} + M_k$$

Let  $0 < s < t$ . Then, by iteration,

$$\frac{X_{[nt]}}{n} = \frac{X_{[ns]}}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=[ns]}^{[nt]-1} \left( \lambda_k + \alpha \frac{X_{k-1}}{k} \right) + \sum_{k=[ns]}^{[nt]-1} \sigma_k \sqrt{\frac{X_{k-1}}{n}} W_{n,k}$$
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=[ns]}^{[nt]-1} (\delta_k X_{k-1} + \varepsilon_k - \lambda_k)$$

where

$$W_{n,k} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{nX_{k-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{X_{k-1}} \frac{\xi_{k,j} - m_k}{\sigma_k} \approx \mathcal{N}(1, n^{-1})$$

## Heuristic for the limit theorem II.

The **blue part** can be approximated by stochastic integral equation

$$X_t = X_s + \int_s^t \left( \lambda + \alpha \frac{X_u}{u} \right) du + \int_s^t \sigma \sqrt{X_u} dW_u$$

On the other hand, the **red part** is vanishing in probability since

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta_k| \mathbf{E}(X_{k-1}) \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta_k| k \rightarrow 0$$

by Kronecker lemma and, by assumption (iv),

$$\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\varepsilon_k - \lambda) \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \rightarrow 0$$

# Weak convergence to a diffusion process I.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $(U_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued adapted random variables w.r.t. a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ . Introduce the **random step functions**:

$$U_t^n := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_k^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $(U_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional **diffusion process**

$$dU_t = \beta(t, U_t) dt + \gamma(t, U_t) dW_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$  are continuous functions and  $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is an  $r$ -dimensional standard Wiener process.

Assume that the SDE has a **unique weak solution** with  $U_0 = x_0$  for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $(U_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a **solution** with  $U_0 = 0$ .

# Weak convergence to a diffusion process II.

I & Pap, (2010)

Suppose that, for each  $T > 0$ ,

Uniform convergence on compacts in probability (ucp)

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_k^n \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) - \int_0^t \beta(s, U_s^n) ds \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(U_k^n (U_k^n)^\top \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) - \int_0^t \gamma(s, U_s^n) \gamma(s, U_s^n)^\top ds \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

and the conditional Lindeberg condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathbb{E}(\|U_k^n\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|U_k^n\| > \theta\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta > 0.$$

Then

$$U^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} U \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Sketch for the proof of the limit theorem

Introduce the new process  $Y_k := k^{-\alpha} X_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Y_0 := 0$  and define

$$U_k^n := n^{\alpha-1} (Y_k - Y_{k-1}), \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{U}_t^n := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_k^n = n^{\alpha-1} Y_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}$$

We prove, by general limit theorem, that weakly in the Skorokhod space  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$

$$n^{\alpha-1} Y_{\lfloor nt \rfloor} = \mathcal{U}_t^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{U}_t := \mathcal{Y}_t \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

# Sketch for the proof of the limit theorem

This implies, for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ ,

$$n^{\alpha-1} (Y_{\lfloor nt_1 \rfloor}, \dots, Y_{\lfloor nt_m \rfloor}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{Y}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{t_m})$$

Hence

$$n^{-1} (X_{\lfloor nt_1 \rfloor}, \dots, X_{\lfloor nt_m \rfloor}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{X}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{t_m})$$

shows the convergence of finite dimensional distributions.

Then, we prove tightness by checking the conditional Lindeberg condition.

# Deterministic limit theorem I (2015)

Suppose that

- 1  $m_n = 1 + \alpha n^{-1} + \delta_n$  with  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ ;
- 2  $\lambda_n \rightsquigarrow \lambda \geq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- 3  $\sigma_n^2 \rightsquigarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- 4  $n^{-1} b_n^2 \rightsquigarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then

$$n^{-1} \mathcal{X}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mu_{\mathcal{X}} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is the unique solution of the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$d\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = (\lambda + \alpha t^{-1} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(t)) dt, \quad t > 0,$$

with initial condition  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(0) = 0$ . In fact,  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \lambda t / (1 - \alpha)$ .



# Fluctuation limit theorem: Assumptions I (2015)

Suppose that

(i)  $m_n = 1 + \alpha n^{-1} + \delta_n$  with  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ ;

(ii)  $\lambda_n \rightsquigarrow \lambda \geq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

(iii)  $n\sigma_n^2 \rightsquigarrow \sigma^2 \geq 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

(iv)  $b_n^2 \rightsquigarrow b^2 \geq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

moreover the following Lindeberg conditions hold

(L1)  $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( |\xi_{k,1} - m_k|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi_{k,1} - m_k| > \theta n^{1/2}\}} \right) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$ ,

(L2)  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( |\varepsilon_k - \lambda_k|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\varepsilon_k - \lambda_k| > \theta n^{1/2}\}} \right) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Fluctuation limit theorem: Statements

Then, weakly in the Skorokhod space  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$n^{-1/2} \mathcal{M}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{M} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $(\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a Wiener process with variance

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}^2 := \sigma^2 \frac{\lambda}{1 - \alpha} + b^2.$$

Moreover, suppose that  $\alpha < 1/2$ . Then, weakly in the Skorokhod space  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$n^{-1/2} (\mathcal{X}^n - \mathbb{E} \mathcal{X}^n, \mathcal{M}^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  satisfies the SDE

$$d\mathcal{X}_t = \alpha t^{-1} \mathcal{X}_t dt + d\mathcal{M}_t, \quad t > 0,$$

with initial condition  $\mathcal{X}_0 = 0$ .

# Ornstein-Uhlenbeck fluctuation limit

The SDE can be written in the form

$$d\mathcal{X}_t = \alpha t^{-1} \mathcal{X}_t dt + \sigma_{\mathcal{M}} dW_t, \quad t > 0.$$

The solution is given as

$$\mathcal{X}_t = \sigma_{\mathcal{M}} t^{\alpha} \int_0^t s^{-\alpha} dW_s, \quad t > 0.$$

If  $\alpha < 1/2$  the integral is well-defined in  $L^2$  and Itô's sense as well since

$$\int_0^t s^{-2\alpha} ds = \frac{t^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} < \infty.$$

$(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process

$$\mathcal{X}_t = \sigma_{\mathcal{M}} \int_0^t e^{\alpha(\ln t - \ln s)} dW_s, \quad t > 0,$$

with logarithmic exponent function.

# Why $\alpha < 1/2$ ?

Define the sequence  $V_k := \text{Var}(X_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have the recursion

$$V_k = m_k^2 V_{k-1} + EM_k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Asymptotic for the variance:

- 1  $n^{-1} V_n \rightarrow \lambda(1 - 2\alpha)^{-1}((1 - \alpha)^{-1}\lambda\sigma^2 + b^2)$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ ,
- 2  $(n \ln n)^{-1} V_n \rightarrow (1 - \alpha)^{-1}\lambda\sigma^2 + b^2$  if  $\alpha = 1/2$ ,
- 3  $n^{-2\alpha} V_n \rightarrow c \geq 0$  if  $\alpha > 1/2$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant,

since





$$m_k^2 = 1 + 2\alpha k^{-1} + \tilde{\delta}_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{\delta}_k| < \infty$ .

## Conclusions and future works

- A criticality index was introduced for branching processes with immigration in varying environment.
- Limit theorems were proved for proper nearly critical branching processes with immigration in varying environment.
- Estimating the criticality index and the average immigration intensity.
- Developing tests for hypothesis  $H_0 : \alpha = \alpha_0$ , e.g., testing the strong criticality ( $\alpha_0 = 0$ ).
- Investigating the logarithmically and polinomially nearly critical BPIVE.

# References

-  Ispány, M., Pap, G., van Zuijlen, M.C.A. (2005). Fluctuation limit of branching processes with immigration and estimation of the means *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 37: 523–538.
-  Ispány, M., Pap, G. (2010). A note on weak convergence of random step processes. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* 126(4): 381–395.
-  Sriram, T.N. (1994). Invalidity of bootstrap for critical branching processes with immigration. *Ann. Statist.* 22:1013–1023.
-  R. Wong, H. Li (1992) Asymptotic expansions for second-order linear difference equations *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* 41: 65–94.

Thank you for your attention!